THE COURT: I understand that. That point I 1 2 understand. 3 MR. FAGAN: And I have moved very far back. 4 THE COURT: Candor is sometimes useful. 5 Judge, I moved very far back to just one MR. FAGAN: 6 single issue. What is it? Let her produce to us whatever she 7 has --8 THE COURT: You are missing the point or, as you 9 candidly admitted, you want discovery of all this regardless of 10 the point. 11 MR. FAGAN: Not regardless, your Honor. With respect, 12 not regardless. 13 THE COURT: Let's move on. I guess my question is this. On the one hand you want 14 15 to move quickly, and since I run a rocket docket I appreciate 16 that. On the other hand -- Ms. Greenfield shook her head -- as 17 the defense counsel she doesn't. 18 My question is this. You have got to amend the 19 complaint. Can you do it by Friday or are we being foolish and 20 would you rather have either until Monday, and ruin your 21 weekend, or next Friday? 22 If you don't want your case to get bogged down, get 23 rid of the John Does, unless you are prepared to make a motion, 24 which you better have good case law on because I don't think you have a chance here, number one. Number two, what is the 25

	81SHTEAC
1	facts as to each plaintiff, and you have got lots of the same
2	argument. The fraudulent scheme involved. Is this age
3	discrimination? Is it race discrimination?
4	MR. FAGAN: It is age discrimination, your Honor, and
5	I didn't have a chance to we weren't pleading Title VII
6	THE COURT: Stop. You were pleading Title VII.
7	MR. FAGAN: We weren't pleading Title VII as race,
8	creed, national origin. We were pleading it as amended under
9	the 1991 Civil Rights Act that includes specific age
10	discrimination.
11	THE COURT: Then you have separate causes of action
12	for Title VII and the ADEA. The ADEA is the amendment to Title
13	VII to get age in it.
14	Secondly, you have got a 1981 claim, which, unless I
15	am really rusty, is only for race.
16	MR. FAGAN: Your Honor, I can pull that out. I simply
17	believe that 1981 was amended by 1999 to include a paragraph
18	for age. It was an amendment.
19	THE COURT: I find that doubtful. But I guess what I
20	am saying is this. If you are going to do it RICO, come on.
21	Give me a break. This is a Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment due
22	process case.
23	If you want to get to the heart of the matter,
24	including getting some discovery I am not telling you what
25	to do. You are a lawyer. You get paid to make these

88 20	81SHTEAC
1	decisions. But if you are bringing any of these causes of
2	action in your amended complaint, and that is why I am saying
3	if Friday is too soon, take more time and let's agree on that,
4	I want you to have researched it. Does your client have
5	standing under the tenth cause of action to bring a claim for
6	misuse of taxpayer funds. The Supremes have just recently
7	dealt with that in the religious context in something else. I
8	can't say I can swear to the case law off the tip of my tongue.
9	Tortious interference with contract rights. You can't
10	interfere with your own contract.
11	So please do your research. Get rid of the junk here.
12	Get specific facts as to specific plaintiffs, if that is what
13	you are challenging. Plaintiff Holmes has been in the rubber
14	room for four months with no charges brought and the rubber
15	room is miles away from his or her home. Whatever. Get rid of
16	the boilerplate here. I mean, for a 20-something page
17	complaint, it says very little.
18	MR. FAGAN: That is correct, your Honor. The purpose
19	of
20	THE COURT: You don't have to justify this one.
21	Justify your next one.
22	MR. FAGAN: May I just speak with my client for a
23	second?
24	THE COURT: Sure.
25	(Pause)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1	MR. FAGAN: I would actually opt for destroying my
2	weekend and going for Monday to file, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: That is fine.
4	MR. FAGAN: My suggestion, if I can make a suggestion,
5	Judge.
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	MR. FAGAN: My suggestion would be that, we have got
8	so far the issue of preservation, subpoena to the UFT for
9	preservation, preservation subpoena out to the UFT. We will
10	serve with the amended complaint, we will serve discovery
11	requests on the UFT.
12	THE COURT: UFT or the board?
13	MR. FAGAN: I misspoke. They confuse me sometimes
14	they are so close. The DOE. I will make those very specific,
15	with the specific types of e-mails, with the information. That
16	way when we come back to your Honor, let's say mid-next week,
17	unless your Honor is going to push it sooner, when we come back
18	then, hopefully Ms. Greenfield
19	THE COURT: How about throw into your time schedule,
20	it would really be nice if you and Ms. Greenfield talked to
21	each other and perhaps some of this can be cut through.
22	MR. FAGAN: I offered that, and I am hopeful that
23	after
24	THE COURT: Offered that? She learned about the case
25	when my secretary called the clerk at 4:30 and said who is

	81SHTEAC
1	assigned to that and they said nobody. Ms. Greenfield is the
2	supervisor, so she is stuck with it for now.
3	MS. GREENFIELD: And thank you for that.
4	Your Honor, counsel did give me the sheet of paper
5	this morning and I said this was a no go. But obviously once I
6	get the amended pleading, we will certainly have a meet and
7	confer with counsel to see what we can do with respect to his
8	discovery.
9	MR. FAGAN: Is it inappropriate to ask the court to
10	consider directing Ms. Greenfield to produce whatever documents
11	she has with regard to the creation of the
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	MR. FAGAN: It is inappropriate to ask for that?
14	THE COURT: You can ask, but the request is denied.
15	There is absolutely no reason either that is a
16	legal issue or look, I know your desperate issue here is to
17	get discovery for some reason. I thought lawyers usually like
18	to win a case, not just get discovery.
19	What Ms. Greenfield said was in the context of should
20	the UFT be involved here, she said, as I recall, and you have
21	all got the transcript, something to the effect of this issue
22	has been addressed with the UFT. She didn't say the UFT
23	contract requires it or anything else. Frankly, I think from
24	what Ms. Greenfield is saying and from what you are saying that
25	as to the ability to do this it seems to be the board's

1 position that they have the unilateral right to do it. To the 2 extent that any unilateral right when dealing with city unions, 3 particularly UFT, is, well, we have the right to do it but if 4 the UFT is going to scream bloody murder we might try to talk 5 to them and work something out, that may be an additional defense that the city has that the UFT has not challenged this. 6 7 It doesn't mean that they need the UFT on board for this 8 necessarily.

9 In any event, I am not ordering them to do anything 10 other than preserve, and even preservation is difficult because 11 still, other than whatever you have mentioned today, other than 12 that, it is unclear to me what you want in discovery.

So what you are going to do is serve a document demand on Ms. Greenfield. You are going to state what form you want ESI in and all that good stuff, and she is going to respond. We will see whether that is expedited, whether it is delayed because of motion practice, because you did a terrible job of amending your complaint, or whatever.

But with all due respect, and with all due respect to the teachers sitting in the back, some of whom may become named plaintiffs shortly, to the extent this is a due process challenge to the rubber rooms, it is mostly a legal issue and it is not something, considering that the rubber rooms have been around for several years, not something that it would appear to require immediate injunctive or other jumping through

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

hoops relief absent some motion papers, that you have not yet filed, that would convince me otherwise.

The fact that Mr. McLaughlin has until the end of the day today to bring an Article 78 or that someone else sitting in the back of the room has a month to bring an Article 78, I am not interfering with the state court process. People will do what they have to do in state court. The state courts are more than adequate to protect their rights with respect to that.

Moreover, on all of this, you keep calling it confinement like being arrested. Certainly in Section 1983 cases against the police department or police officers have come up with ways for the jury to compensate people for being falsely confined.

So there is very little that, considering the passage of time in general on the reassignment centers, even if it may be novel for some of your clients, it is unlikely that you can make a showing for injunctive relief or other everybody has to jump through hoops.

Moreover, to the extent your requests to Ms. Greenfield for documents, including ESI, are tailored, specific, etc., you have a better chance of getting the court to order that than if it is a blunderbuss request that says every e-mail that talks about the rubber rooms.

MR. FAGAN: Thank you, Judge.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1	81SHTEAC
1	THE COURT: So use your time wisely in both amending
2	the complaint and drafting appropriate document requests and we
3	will go from there.
4	MR. FAGAN: Your Honor, what we will do
5	THE COURT: Your client is waving at you.
6	MR. FAGAN: May I speak to him for a moment?
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MR. FAGAN: Thank you, Judge.
9	(Pause)
10	MR. FAGAN: Judge, my client pointed out that one of
11	the issues in the rubber room, and I am saying this not to
12	upset the court but there are some issues going on as it
13	relates to things that are happening in the rubber room and
14	things for which, whether it is injunctive relief or guidance
15	from the court, direction to Ms. Greenfield and to the DOE, it
16	would be helpful.
17	What has happened literally in the last two weeks is
18	that when the DOE learned of the potential action and then when
19	the DOE learned of the action itself, the confinement became
20	even and I am using the term confinement and I don't mean to
21	say it to negate what the court has said. I think we will be
22	able to prove that it is confinement. The confinement within
23	the rubber rooms has become even more restrictive, where they
24	are preventing the teachers from even sitting and talking
25	together about what is going on. They are preventing the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1 teachers -- in a certain way it is draconian.
2 I am not suggesting that the court has any affidavits
3 to this. The only evidence is that my client, Mr. Lewenstein,
4 could attest to it. He leaned over and said to me to please
5 make the court aware of this.
6 What I would suggest is that until we come back here

What I would suggest is that until we come back here next week the DOE should understand that restrictions in the rubber room to people congregating, talking -- by the way, they are not doing anything in the rubber room. They don't have any jobs in the rubber room. They sit in a room as if they are wearing dunce caps, in a room this size. These are not teachers who are accused of the types of conduct that one would think merits this. These are teachers who are accused of incompetence, teachers who are accused of potential insubordination.

16 So my suggestion, your Honor, is that until we come 17 back here, the DOE and the court, even by way of suggestion on 18 the record, needs to understand these people have a right to 19 sit and talk, they have a right to meet, they have a right to 20 move about the rubber rooms, they have a right to talk about the lawsuits, they have a right to plan. I think that is the 21 First Amendment. Whether they are confined in the rubber room 22 or they are outside talking, they should be entitled to move 23 about freely. And I can put him on the stand. He can attest 24 25 to it --

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

	o i biriline
1	THE COURT: Not today.
2	MR. FAGAN: OK, Judge.
3	THE COURT: It is 10 to 12.
4	MR. FAGAN: Thank you, Judge.
5	THE COURT: When do you want to come back? How does
6	Friday, the 8th sound?
7	MS. GREENFIELD: Your Honor, can I just get my
8	appointment book from the back?
9	(Pause)
10	MS. GREENFIELD: Perfect. Same time, your Honor?
11	THE COURT: Let's move it up to 9:30.
12	MS. GREENFIELD: Your Honor, could we do 10:00?
13	THE COURT: Sure. February 8 at 10:00.
14	Usual drill. I am going to require both sides, unless
15	there is an economic or other objection, to purchase the
16	transcript
17	MS. GREENFIELD: It is done already, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: which contains the court's rulings such
19	as they are. I don't think I have ruled on anything that was
20	definitive enough that it is appealable, so to speak, but for
21	the record and since your clients are sitting here so they know
22	for the future, or maybe they are your clients, pursuant to 28,
23	U.S. Code, Section 636 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 6
24	and 72, any party that is aggrieved by any of my rulings at
25	these conferences has ten business days to file objections with

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

	81SHTEAC
·1	Judge Marrero.
2	Failure to file such objections within the ten
3	business day period constitutes a waiver of those objections
4	for all further purposes, including appeals to the Second
5	Circuit or beyond. The ten business days starts running
6	immediately, any time you hear my ruling at a conference,
7	regardless of how long it takes you to get the transcript.
8	All right. I guess, not that I I won't put any
9	comments on other than to say it is my practice at first
10	conferences to remind the parties that they do have the option
11	pursuant to 28, U.S. Code, Section 636(c) to have the case in
12	front of me for all purposes, including jury trial, should the
13	case get that far. Otherwise, you will be in front of me for
14	some things and back to Judge Marrero for substantive motions
15	and trial. That, of course, requires unanimous consent. So if
16	one of you jumped up now and said, I consent, it doesn't matter
17	unless you both consent. Then you are back with Judge Marrero.
18	MR. FAGAN: I will defer to Judge Greenfield.
19	MS. GREENFIELD: My mother always wanted me to be a
20	judge.
21	MR. FAGAN: We have known each other for eleven years,
22	Judge.
23	MS. GREENFIELD: And he said I don't look a day older.
24	THE COURT: Before I age any further, I will just say,
25	if you want to talk to your clients I know Ms. Greenfield

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

and her colleagues always have to run it up the flagpole at Corp. Counsel for strange reasons. So if you want to tell me anything about that at the February 8th conference, that is fine.

MS. GREENFIELD: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: I prefer that you get back to me on a combined neutral basis, where one of you flips a coin and does the report for both of you and just says either there is consent, here is the signed form, there isn't consent, without saying I consented but he/she didn't, or that the issue is still under advisement and will get decided further down the road.

MR. FAGAN: Your Honor, because sometimes I don't remember everything that went on, can I just summarize what I believe were what the court allowed us to do?

THE COURT: Sure. Amend your complaint by Monday, serve a preservation subpoena on the UFT as narrowly drawn as possible, and make sure that they understand that it is for preservation, not production. Serve a document demand simultaneous with the amended complaint on Ms. Greenfield, and narrow your complaint as much as possible.

Also, by the way, and this is no longer a summary, it is somewhat new, you have got not only the Department of Education as a department but John Doe defendants and Mayor Bloomberg and Joel Klein. If you need all those folks, first

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

	81SHTEAC
1	of all, I doubt there should be anonymous superintendents or
2	principals. Your clients know who did what, and then you would
3	have to serve them. But if this is a challenge not to what
4	happened to one particular client, as you have said, but are
5	the rubber rooms themselves appropriate, that seems to be that
6	the DOE is the appropriate defendant. But you will do what you
7	all want on that.
8	Seriously, Mr. Fagan, if the complaint has as much
9	junk in it when it is amended as it does now, I will be very
10	inclined to stay discovery while there are motions aimed at it.
11	MR. FAGAN: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Take the hint.
13	MR. FAGAN: I got the hint, Judge, and we will include
14	more specific allegations as to each plaintiff.
15	THE COURT: And less causes of action.
16	MR. FAGAN: Less causes of action and more named
17	defendants.
18	THE COURT: That I wasn't necessarily inviting other
19	than seriously, I am not sure that the UFT doesn't have to
20	be here. You will do what you want and the city will do what
21	it wants, and the UFT, once it gets your preservation subpoena,
22	might move to intervene if no one else brings them in. I will
23	worry about all that.
24	Make sure the complaint says what it is you are
25	challenging, not just this amorphous we don't like the process,
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS D.C.

II 81SHTEAC

1	and make sure your document requests are narrow and focused and
2	we will go from there.
3	See you next week.
4	MR. FAGAN: Thank you, Judge.
5	MS. GREENFIELD: Thank you, your Honor.
6	(Adjourned)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	